

NORDIC FIs & COVERED

INSIDE:

- 3 ESMA covered swaps carve-out 'a positive signal'
- 4 Key covered role in Icelandic housing proposals
- 5 Nordic euro senior unsecured and covered bond spread data

Thursday, 24 July 2014

Issue 91

Issuer ratings out, Art 129(7) added in new LCR draft leak



Issuer ratings look set to have been scrapped as LCR eligibility criteria for covered bonds and a transparency requirement introduced, according to a leaked EC document on the delegated regulation that the Commission is likely to finalise in September.

Minimum issuer ratings had only recently been added as a precondition for covered bonds to count toward Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) requirements, appearing in a leaked EC paper seen by *The CBR* in June. The move could have resulted in certain covered bonds losing LCR status despite meeting minimum rating requirements for the bonds themselves.

However, the Commission held a further meeting on the LCR regulation on Friday and a document linked to this does not include the minimum issuer rating requirement.

"The dreaded and much discussed issuer rating is not contained anymore in the document," said Florian Eichert, senior covered bond analyst at Crédit Agri-

cole CIB. "This is good news for a lot of weaker banks in the periphery as well as core countries."

A new LCR eligibility criterion, however, has been added, for Level 1 and Level 2A assets, and sets transparency standards stemming from a requirement in the Capital Requirements Regulation thus: "the credit institution investing in the covered bonds must meet the transparency requirement laid down in Article 129(7) of Regulation (EU) No. 575/2013".

Luca Bertalot, secretary general of the European Covered Bond Council, welcomed the prospect of the transparency standards being included in the LCR delegated act, seeing it as a nod to the ECBC Label initiative.

"We are pleased that the delegated act looks set to include an implicit recognition of the pivotal and hard work undertaken in the last years by the covered bond Label community in enhancing transparency in the covered bond market," he said. ■

Danske raises outlook after Q2 progress, Swedbank echoes peers

Second quarter results for Danske, reported today (Thursday), reveal "good progress all over", with a raised outlook for 2014 reflecting higher management confidence, while Swedbank results underline a Swedish trend, said a Kepler Cheuvreux analyst.

Profit before tax at Danske Bank amounted to Dkr5bn (Eu600m) in the second quarter, and net profit Dkr4bn, according to the bank, the latter representing a 44% increase on the first quarter. The bank also highlighted a 10% increase in total income, to Dkr11.4bn, which includes a positive effect from its divestment from Nets.

"There was good progress all over, and the quarterly results are indeed probably their best since the 2008 financial crisis," said Mats Anderson, equity research analyst at Kepler Cheuvreux.

Net profit of Dk4bn was 8% ahead of consensus expectations, and while total income was slightly below expectations due to slightly disappointing trading gains, quality items, namely net interest income (NII) and commissions, "are good news", according to Anderson.

Cost development, meanwhile, is "excellent", he said, adding that impairments

(continued on page 2)

Latest Nordic FI benchmarks

Senior unsecured (z spreads mid)

JYBC	3mE+50	06/17	42bp
POHBK	1.125%	06/19	42bp
MINGNO	1.500%	05/19	58bp

Covered bonds (asw spreads mid)

DANBNK	1.250%	06/21	6bp
POHBK	0.750%	06/19	1bp
AKTIA	1.000%	04/19	2bp

Source: CACIB trading 23/7/14

Danske beats consensus, Swedes strong in H1

(continued from page 1)

in core activities amounted to 16bp, showing good credit quality, and loan losses in non-core Ireland fell from Dkr632m to Dkr162m, “much better than expected”.

Based on its latest performance, the bank has raised its full year profit outlook, forecasting net profit for 2014 to be Dkr10bn-Dkr13bn, up from expectations for net profit at the higher end of a Dkr9bn-Dkr12bn range.

“The stronger outlook is owing mainly to improved developments in expenses and impairments as well as the positive effect relating to Nets,” said the bank.

Thomas Borgen, Danske CEO (pictured), said that the bank made “good progress in the first half of 2014” and is “moving steadily in the right direction”.

“Expenses are declining as expected, the positive trend in impairments is continuing, and we saw growing customer activity in the second quarter of 2014,” he said.

Anderson at Kepler Cheuvreux said that the raised guidance is a minor change given that the bank was already guiding toward the upper end of its previous forecasts, but that it nonetheless reflects increasing confidence among management.

Swedbank on Friday became the last of the four largest Swedish commercial banks to announce second quarter results.



It reported profit after provisioning of Skr5.4bn (Eu585m), 6% more than consensus expectations, excluding a small impact from its acquisition of Sparbanken Öresund, according to Anderson.

“Commission generation was good and costs are under control, although, as the CFO remarked, when you take into account the Öresund acquisition the numbers may look a little bit too flattering.

“But underlyings are good,” he added.

Revenue development was similar to that at the other major Swedish banks, according to Anderson, with NII, of Skr5.5bn, slightly below consensus, reflecting the impact of a Swedish interest rate cut in December, while trading gains, of Skr800m, were ahead of consensus expectations.

“These should be seen in conjunction with the development of net interest income, i.e. Swedbank again accentuates the trend seen at its Swedish peers that have already posted second quarter results and which sets the trend for the second quarter of 2014,” said Anderson.

Swedbank chief executive officer Michael Wolf noted strong domestic demand in the second quarter and said that, for the first time in a while, there were signs of increased credit demand in the commercial sector.

“Swedbank’s profit for the second quarter was strong,” he said. “Lower market interest rates affected net interest income negatively, while increased lending and deposit volumes contributed positively.

“Notably, the quarter saw increased activity among our corporate customers and growth in urban areas.”

Fitch on Tuesday said that it expects continued robust performance from the major Swedish banks in the second half of the year, all while large capital and liquidity buffers are maintained.

“The first half of 2014 was no exception to the major Swedish banks’ strong track record,” said the rating agency.

Smaller Swedish banks have also announced second quarter results. Government-owned SBAB last Thursday (17 July) announced pre-tax operating profit, excluding net result from financial instruments, of Skr273m, NII of Skr514m, and expenses of Skr219m.

“The lending business remained affected by strong competition in the market, but SBAB still experienced positive volume growth in the quarter,” said Pers Anders Fasth, outgoing chief executive officer at SBAB. “New lending to households rose, while new lending to companies and tenant-owner associations were in line with the previous quarter.”

LF Bank results, meanwhile, include a 7% increase in NII to Skr624m in the second quarter, a 17% rise in operating profit, to Skr231m, and a 9% increase in lending, to Skr117bn, and a cost/income ratio before loan losses of 0.69.

“Länsförsäkringar continued to strengthen its position in the bank market with growing business volumes and strong net interest income and earnings,” said Rikard Josefson, president of Länsförsäkringar Bank. ■

Nordic FIs & Covered Bonds

Produced by **NewType Media**,
publisher of

The Covered Bond Report

Neil Day
Managing Editor
nday@coveredbondreport.com
+44 20 7428 9575

Susanna Rust
Deputy Editor
srust@coveredbondreport.com
+44 20 7267 5354

news.coveredbondreport.com

In association with



Vincent Hoarau
Head of FIG Syndicate
vincent.hoarau@ca-cib.com
+44 20 7214 6162

Julian Burkhard
Global Head of Capital Solutions,
Head of FI DCM Nordics & UK
julian.burkhard@ca-cib.com
+44 20 7214 5472

Florian Eichert
Senior Covered Bond Analyst
florian.eichert@ca-cib.com
+44 20 7214 6402

ESMA covered swaps carve-out ‘a positive signal’

The European Securities & Markets Authority (ESMA) has proposed exempting covered bond derivatives from a central clearing obligation under EMIR if certain conditions are fulfilled, and market participants said the proposal sends a positive signal.

ESMA launched two consultations on 11 July, one on interest rate swaps and another on credit default swaps, with the former open until 18 August and the latter until 18 September. The consultations will inform regulatory technical standards (RTS) that ESMA has to develop under the European Markets Infrastructure Regulation (EMIR), which introduces an obligation that certain classes of OTC derivatives be cleared in central clearing houses.

The European Covered Bond Council (ECBC) has been lobbying for covered bond swaps to be exempt from such an obligation, and managed to secure a statement in EMIR, in Recital 16, that ESMA should take into account the specific nature of covered bond OTC derivatives. However, as this did not represent an exemption, the industry has continued to push the case for this, and ESMA's proposal for dealing with covered bond swaps was welcomed by Boudewijn Dierick, head of covered bond and flow ABS structuring at BNP Paribas and chair of the ECBC rating agency approaches working group, who in the latter capacity heads a taskforce set up to deal with EMIR.

“It looks good,” he said. “Some rewording may be necessary to prevent misunderstanding, but that is a normal part of the process and at least the signal of having an exemption for covered bond swaps is positive.”

ESMA is proposing that covered bond derivatives be exempt from mandatory central clearing as long as certain conditions are met.

In setting out the rationale for its proposal, ESMA cited feedback it received in response to a discussion paper on the central clearing obligation and the specific nature of covered bond derivatives that this feedback emphasised. The EU authority said that it took the feedback into account and further analysed the specific nature of covered bond derivatives in the context of the clearing obligation, and “has come up with a proposal which

leverages on the analysis performed in the context of the current consultation on draft RTS on risk-mitigation techniques for OTC derivative contracts not cleared by a CCP (bilateral margins)” (*see below for more*).

The end result is a proposal that interest rate OTC derivatives used in relation to covered bond programmes not to be required to be centrally cleared if the swap contracts meet six conditions. These are, in ESMA's words, that:

- (a) they are not terminated in case of default of the covered bond issuer;
- (b) the counterparty to the contracts, which counterparty is not the cover pool or the covered bond issuer, ranks at least *pari passu* with the covered bond holders;
- (c) they are registered in the cover pool of the covered bond programme in accordance with national covered bond legislation;
- (d) they are used only to hedge the interest rate or currency mismatches of the cover pool;
- (e) the covered bond programme to which they are associated meets the requirements of Article 129 of Regulation (EU) No 575/2013; and
- (f) the covered bond programme to which they are associated is subject to a legal collateralisation requirement of at least 102%.

According to Dierick, the need for some rewording relates to the first two conditions. The second, for example, could be problematic for programmes with swaps initially provided by the originator, which is common practice in Canadian, Australian, Dutch, French, UK and various Nordic programmes, he said.

Moody's last Thursday said that the exemption is credit positive for those covered bonds that would face higher levels of interest rate risk if they are unable to utilise swaps for hedging purposes. It agreed that the consultation represents a positive signal that regulators are looking to support the covered bond market, but also noted similar outstanding issues to Dierick.

“However, the exemption contains some limitations and drafting ambiguities,” it said. “An important limitation

applying to both exemptions is that a covered bond programme must benefit from a legal minimum 2% overcollateralisation, which is not the case for all programmes.”

The rating agency added that if the provision is implemented in its present form there would be a reduction in the credit positive effect of the exemption unless national legislators adapt their covered bond law to accommodate it.

Moody's said the programmes that would benefit from an exemption from mandatory clearing would be those in jurisdictions where interest rate swaps are typically used, namely France, the UK, the Netherlands, Italy, and Nordic countries excluding Denmark. It highlighted the UK, the Netherlands and France as jurisdictions where swaps are particularly beneficial because the law does not provide for a net present value test to measure whether future asset cashflows will cover covered bond liabilities in the instance of issuer default.

The rating agency said that interest rate swaps also take on heightened importance for cover pools that include a large number of loans with long dated fixed rate periods. Moody's noted that such cover pools are typical in France, the Netherlands, and to some degree in the UK. Mortgage loans in cover pools in jurisdictions such as Sweden and Norway are more likely to be floating or will have short fixed periods so they can more easily achieve “a natural” hedging, according to Moody's.

“Nevertheless, all these jurisdictions and many others currently utilise interest rate swaps,” said the rating agency. “So the removal of these swaps would lead to increased risk to investors where alternative solutions are not adopted or are less effective at removing interest rate risk.”

Meanwhile, the ECBC has welcomed a carve-out for covered bonds under draft regulatory technical standards on risk-mitigation techniques for derivatives that are not centrally cleared, but still sees problems with what the European supervisory authorities (ESAs) have proposed.

The industry body set out its views in a consultation on the draft RTS that finished on 14 July. It welcomed the ESAs' move to allow covered bond-related derivatives to be excluded from bilateral collateral posting of initial variation and

Key covered role in Icelandic housing proposals

An Icelandic government-appointed committee has called for the establishment of specialised mortgage companies to finance mortgage lending via covered bonds, among other changes aimed at reforming the country's housing system, according to Standard & Poor's.

As previously reported, the committee was established last autumn by the minister of social affairs and housing, Eygló Harðardóttir (*pictured*), to look into possible changes to the country's housing finance model.

Soffía Eydís Björgvinsdóttir, chair of the taskforce, presented the proposals to the minister at a press conference on 5 June. She previously told *The CBR* that adoption of a Danish-style system was under consideration.

According to Standard & Poor's, the proposals put forward by the committee are for the state-owned Housing Financing Fund (HFF, or *Íbúðalánasjóður*), to cease lending and for its portfolio to be allowed to expire. A new state-owned housing loan company would be established in its place, but unlike HFF would not benefit from a state guarantee.

In addition, specialised mortgage companies should be established, which would only be permitted to grant mortgage loans and finance them through covered bond issuance.

S&P's comments were made in connection with a downgrade on Tuesday of HFF, from BB to BB- to reflect the rating agency's views that the institution's public policy role has diminished following the committee's proposals.



“We now assess HFF's role for the government as important rather than very important, under our criteria for rating government-related entities (GREs),” it said. “Therefore, we believe there is a high likelihood — compared with extremely high previously — that the government of Iceland would provide timely and sufficient extraordinary support to HFF in the event of financial distress.”

The new state-owned housing loan company would operate on the same terms as the proposed specialised mortgage companies, according to S&P.

“The new proposals on the organisation of the housing system are likely to be considered in parliament in the coming months,” said the rating agency. “So far, the timeline for their implementation remains uncertain.

“We understand, however, that HFF

is likely to continue extending some loans — especially to the residents of rural areas of Iceland — before the new specialised mortgage companies become operational.”

Under the existing housing finance system in Iceland, mortgage lending has predominantly been channelled through HFF, but it had to be bailed out in 2012 and has required further government support.

S&P said it understands the committee's proposals to be aimed at addressing the need to limit persistent losses posted by HFF in recent years, and to promote the development of an active rental market.

“They also answer the requirements of the European Free Trade Association Surveillance Authority, which has on multiple occasions expressed concerns that some operations of HFF entail state aid and do not comply with the rules of the European Economic Area agreement, demanding changes to the scope of HFF's operations,” added S&P.

Mortgage financing in Iceland is also provided by the country's commercial banks, and, to a lesser extent, pension funds. Arion Bank and Íslandsbanki have sold covered bonds under covered bond legislation introduced in 2008 to refinance this, and there had also been structured covered bond issuance before the financial crisis.

Arion began issuing covered bonds in early 2012 shortly after Íslandsbanki sold the first covered bonds under the 2008 Icelandic legislation. Landsbankinn received a licence to issue covered bonds late last year. ■

(continued from page 3)

variation margins, while ensuring derivative counterparties a degree of protection by outlining specific conditions that have to be met for this exemption.

However, the ECBC raised several issues — some quite technical — with the draft RTS and made suggestions as to how these should be dealt with. The points raised include that derivatives satisfying the requirements for exclusion from the bilateral margin posting requirement also be excluded from counting toward group thresholds for non-centrally cleared derivatives, and that Article 52

(4) UCITS rather than Article 129 of the Capital Requirements Regulation (CRR) should be the EU-harmonised classification that covered bonds must fulfil in order for covered bond-related derivatives to be exempt from the margin posting requirements.

Under the draft RTS, one of the other conditions for the carve-out is, again, that the covered bond programme in question is subject to a legal collateralisation requirement of at least 102%. The ECBC said that it “would encourage the ESAs to set the same minimum requirement across the different regulatory files that

are currently addressing this topic”.

“In addition, considering the timing implications if the various national covered bond legislations need to be amended to include a minimum OC, we would strongly suggest a grandfathering period before this requirement becomes mandatory,” it said.

The industry body also recommended that “the scope of the contemplated carve-out regime to the benefit of covered bonds should be broadened in order to take into account issues raised by bespoke derivatives performed for hedging purposes, such as back-to-back swaps.” ■

Euro Nordic covered bond & senior unsecured secondary spreads

Nordic benchmarks: covered versus ASW, senior unsecured (shaded) versus Z spreads, 23/7/14.

ISIN	Coupon	Maturity	Mid Spd	ISIN	Coupon	Maturity	Mid Spd
AKTIA (*AKTIA REMB)				POHBK			
XS0640889803*	3.125	22/06/2016	-4	XS0785351213	1.625	23/05/2017	-9
XS0946639381	1.125	25/06/2018	-1	XS0646202407	3.500	11/07/2018	-5
XS1056447797	1.000	15/04/2019	2	XS1076088001	0.750	11/06/2019	1
BRF				XS1045726699	1.500	17/03/2021	5
XS0882166282	2.500	31/01/2018	75	XS0758309396	2.625	20/03/2017	19
DANBNK				XS0540216669	3.000	08/09/2017	23
XS0501663099	3.500	16/04/2018	-3	XS0931144009	1.250	14/05/2018	31
XS0469000144	4.125	26/11/2019	1	XS1077588017	1.125	17/06/2019	42
XS1071388117	1.250	11/06/2021	6	XS1040272533	2.000	03/03/2021	38
XS0519458755	3.750	23/06/2022	10	SAMBNK			
XS0802067636	2.500	09/07/2015	6	XS0693226978	2.750	19/10/2016	-6
XS0627692204	3.875	18/05/2016	18	XS0834714254	1.625	27/09/2019	3
XS0751166835	3.875	28/02/2017	23	XS0640463062	3.875	21/06/2021	11
DNBNO				SBAB			
XS0728790402	2.375	11/04/2017	-7	XS0498316255	3.250	30/03/2017	-10
XS0537686288	2.375	31/08/2017	-5	XS0968885623	2.375	04/09/2020	56
XS0877571884	1.000	22/01/2018	-3	SEB			
XS0992304369	1.125	12/11/2018	0	XS0548881555	2.625	16/10/2017	-9
XS0794233865	1.875	18/06/2019	1	XS0894500981	1.500	25/02/2020	-1
XS0637846725	3.875	16/06/2021	7	XS0988357090	1.625	04/11/2020	0
XS0759310930	2.750	21/03/2022	9	XS0614401197	4.125	07/04/2021	5
XS0856976682	1.875	21/11/2022	8	XS0628653007	3.750	19/05/2016	14
XS0522030310	3.875	29/06/2020	32	XS0730498143	3.875	12/04/2017	17
XS0595092098	4.375	24/02/2021	45	XS0592695000	4.250	21/02/2018	25
XS0732513972	4.250	18/01/2022	47	XS0972089568	2.000	18/03/2019	35
EIKBOL				XS0854425625	1.875	14/11/2019	42
XS0736417642	2.250	25/01/2017	-2	XS1033940740	2.000	19/02/2021	49
XS0851683473	1.250	06/11/2017	2	SHBASS			
XS0794570944	2.000	19/06/2019	8	XS0760243328	1.875	21/03/2017	-10
XS1044766191	1.500	12/03/2021	12	XS0906516256	1.000	19/06/2018	-4
JYBC				XS1050552006	1.000	04/01/2019	-1
XS0856532618	3mE+110bp	20/05/2015	23	XS0490111563	3.750	24/02/2017	17
XS1078186001	3mE+50bp	19/06/2017	42	XS0732016596	3.375	17/07/2017	17
LANSBK				XS0794225176	2.250	14/06/2018	15
XS0926822189	1.125	07/05/2020	5	XS0965050197	2.250	27/08/2020	29
MINGNO				XS0693812355	4.375	20/10/2021	37
XS0893363258	2.125	21/02/2018	45	XS0819759571	2.625	23/08/2022	44
XS1069518451	1.500	20/05/2019	58	SPABOL			
NDASS				XS0495145657	3.250	17/03/2017	-6
XS0478492415	3.500	18/01/2017	-12	XS0820929437	1.250	28/02/2018	-4
XS0731649660	2.375	17/07/2017	-8	XS0738895373	2.750	01/02/2019	0
XS0965104978	1.375	20/08/2018	-6	XS0995022661	1.500	20/01/2020	6
XS1014673849	1.250	14/01/2019	-2	XS0942804351	1.500	12/06/2020	7
XS0778465228	2.250	03/05/2019	-1	XS0587952085	4.000	03/02/2021	11
XS0874351728	1.375	15/01/2020	1	XS0674396782	3.375	07/09/2021	11
XS0591428445	4.000	10/02/2021	4	SPAROG			
XS0801636571	2.250	05/10/2017	15	XS0853250271	2.000	14/05/2018	41
XS0916242497	1.375	12/04/2018	18	XS0965489239	2.125	27/02/2019	55
XS0728763938	4.000	11/07/2019	32	XS0876758664	2.125	03/02/2020	53
XS0520755488	4.000	29/06/2020	38	XS1055336251	2.125	14/04/2021	74
XS1032997568	2.000	17/02/2021	43	SWEDA			
XS0801636902	3.250	05/07/2022	45	XS0496542787	3.375	22/03/2017	-11
NYKRE (*senior secured)				XS0925525510	1.125	07/05/2020	-2
LU0787776052*	3.250	01/06/2017	53	XS1069674825	1.125	21/05/2021	2
LU0921853205*	1.750	02/05/2018	60	XS0768453101	2.375	04/04/2016	6
LU0996352158*	1.750	28/01/2019	62	XS0740788699	3.375	09/02/2017	19
<i>Source: Crédit Agricole CIB Trading, Bloomberg — See disclaimer on page 5</i>				XS1045283766	1.500	18/03/2019	35

Disclaimer

This material has been prepared by Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank or one of its affiliates (collectively “Crédit Agricole CIB”). It does not constitute “investment research” as defined by the Financial Conduct Authority and is provided for information purposes only. It is not to be construed as a solicitation or an offer to buy or sell any financial instruments and has no regard to the specific investment objectives, financial situation or particular needs of any recipient. Crédit Agricole CIB does not act as an advisor to any recipient of this material, nor owe any recipient any fiduciary duty and nothing in this material should be construed as financial, legal, tax, accounting or other advice. Recipients should make their own independent appraisal of this material and obtain independent professional advice from legal, tax, accounting or other appropriate professional advisers before embarking on any course of action. The information in this material is based on publicly available information and although it has been compiled or obtained from sources believed to be reliable, such information has not been independently verified and no guarantee, representation or warranty, express or implied, is made as to its accuracy, completeness or correctness. This material may contain information from third parties. Crédit Agricole CIB has not independently verified the accuracy of such third-party information and shall not be responsible or liable, directly or indirectly, for any damage or loss caused or alleged to be caused by or in connection with the use of or reliance on this information. Information in this material is subject to change without notice. Crédit Agricole CIB is under no obligation to update information previously provided to recipients. Crédit Agricole CIB is also under no obligation to continue to provide recipients with the information contained in this material and may at any time in its sole discretion stop providing such information. Investments in financial instruments carry significant risk, including the possible loss of the principal amount invested. This material may contain assumptions or include projections, forecasts, yields or returns, scenario analyses and proposed or expected portfolio compositions. Actual events or conditions may not be consistent with, and may differ materially from, those assumed. Past performance is not a guarantee or indication of future results. The price, value of or income from any of the financial products or services mentioned herein can fall as well as rise and investors may make losses. Any prices provided herein (other than those that are identified as being historical) are indicative only and do not represent firm quotes as to either price or size. Financial instruments denominated in a foreign currency are subject to exchange rate fluctuations, which may have an adverse effect on the price or value of an investment in such products. None of the material, nor its content, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, transmitted to, copied or distributed to any other party without the prior express written permission of Crédit Agricole CIB. No liability is accepted by Crédit Agricole CIB for any damages, losses or costs (whether direct, indirect or consequential) that may arise from any use of, or reliance upon, this material. This material is not directed at, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity domiciled or resident in any jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to applicable laws or regulations of such jurisdictions. Recipients of this material should inform themselves about and observe any applicable legal or regulatory requirements in relation to the distribution or possession of this document to or in that jurisdiction. In this respect, Crédit Agricole CIB does not accept any liability to any person in relation to the distribution or possession of this document to or in any jurisdiction.

United States of America: The delivery of this material to any person in the United States shall not be deemed a recommendation to effect any transactions in any security mentioned herein or an endorsement of any opinion expressed herein. Recipients of this material in the United States wishing to effect a transaction in any security mentioned herein should do so by contacting Crédit Agricole Securities (USA), Inc.

United Kingdom: Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank is authorised by the Autorité de Contrôle Prudentiel (ACP) and supervised by the ACP and the Autorité des Marchés Financiers (AMF) in France and subject to limited regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority. Details about the extent of our regulation by the Financial Conduct Authority and the Prudential Regulation Authority are available from us on request. Crédit Agricole Corporate and Investment Bank is incorporated in France and registered in England & Wales Registered number : FC008194. Registered office: Broadwalk House, 5 Appold Street, London, EC2A 2DA.

© 2014, CRÉDIT AGRICOLE CORPORATE AND INVESTMENT BANK All rights reserved.